

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/888,344	06/22/2001	Atsushi Tomita	AICA-24-US	8899	
75	90 06/19/2002				
ADACHI INTERNATIONAL Nagoyaseni Building, 9-27 Nishiki 2-chome, Naka-ku			EXAMINER SHORT, PATRICIA A		
			1712 DATE MAILED: 06/19/2002	Ç	
			DATE MAILED: 06/19/2002	7	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		( )1		
			Λ.	15= 6
	Application No.	Applicant(s) Tomita	et al	
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Group Art Unit	
-The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet be	neath the corre	spondence ac	ldress —
eriod for Reply				
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	T TO EXPIRE <u>Enrue</u>	MONTH(S) F	ROM THE MA	ILING DATE
<ul> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 of from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days.</li> <li>If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by d.</li> <li>Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, b.</li> <li>Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).</li> </ul>	s, a reply within the statutory mir efault, expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro y statute, cause the application t	imum of thirty (30) om the mailing date o become ABANDO	days will be considered of this communication of the communication of the communication of the constant of the	dered timely. ation. ; 133).
Status				
☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on				
☐ This action is <b>FINAL.</b>				
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance exaccordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle,	cept for formal matters, <b>pro</b> 1935 C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213	secution as to t	the merits is c	losed in
isposition of Claims				
Claim(s) 1-4				
•		is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
Of the above claim(s)		is/are with	iurawn iioin co	
		is/are allo	wed.	
• •		is/are allo	wed.	
□ Claim(s)		is/are allo is/are reje	wed. cted.	
Claim(s) ( – 4		is/are allo is/are reje is/are obje are subjec	wed. cted. ected to. ct to restriction	
□ Claim(s)		is/are allo is/are reje is/are obje are subjec requireme	wed. cted. ected to. ct to restriction	
☐ Claim(s) ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐	is □ approved	is/are allo is/are reje is/are obje are subjec requireme	wed. cted. ected to. ct to restriction	
☐ Claim(s) ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐	is □ approved	is/are allo is/are reje is/are obje are subjec requireme	wed. cted. ected to. ct to restriction	
□ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are of □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	is □ approved	is/are allo is/are reje is/are obje are subjec requireme	wed. cted. ected to. ct to restriction	
☐ Claim(s) ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐	is □ approved	is/are allo is/are reje is/are obje are subjec requireme	wed. cted. ected to. ct to restriction	
Claim(s) Cla	is □ approved objected to by the Examiner er.	is/are allo is/are reje is/are obje are subjec requireme disapproved.	wed. cted. ected to. ct to restriction	
Claim(s) Cla	is □ approved objected to by the Examiner er.	is/are allo is/are reje is/are obje are subjec requireme disapproved.	wed. cted. ected to. ct to restriction	
☐ Claim(s) ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐	is □ approved objected to by the Examiner er.	is/are allo is/are reje is/are obje are subjec requireme disapproved.	wed. cted. ected to. ct to restriction	
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ is/are of ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ☐ is/are of ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority and ☐ Some* ☐ None of the: ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have be	is □ approved objected to by the Examiner er. rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a	is/are allo is/are reje is/are reje is/are obje are subjec requireme disapproved.	wed. cted. ected to. et to restriction ent	
☐ Claim(s) ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐	is □ approved objected to by the Examiner er.  rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (and the ericle of the er	is/are allo is/are reje is/are reje is/are obje are subjec requireme disapproved.	wed. cted. ected to. et to restriction ent	
☐ Claim(s) ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ is/are of ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ☐ is/are of ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have be ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have be ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents.	is □ approved objected to by the Examiner er.  rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a een received. een received in Application Naments have been received	is/are allo is/are reje is/are obje are subjec requireme disapproved.	wed. cted. ected to. et to restriction ent	
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ Ine drawing(s) filed on ☐ Is/are of ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign prior ☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the: ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have be ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be ☐ Copies of the C	is papproved objected to by the Examiner er.  rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a pen received. pen received in Application Manents have been received tional Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2)	is/are allo is/are reje is/are obje are subjec requireme disapproved.	wed. cted. ected to. ct to restriction ent	
□ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ The drawing(s) filed on □ is/are or □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have be □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be □ Copies of the certified copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be □ Copies of the certified copies of the certified copies of the certified copies of the certified copies of the cert	is papproved objected to by the Examiner er.  rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a pen received. pen received in Application Manents have been received tional Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2)	is/are allo is/are reje is/are obje are subjec requireme disapproved.	wed. cted. ected to. ct to restriction ent	
Claim(s) Cla	is □ approved objected to by the Examiner er.  Fity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (and the end of the end	is/are allo is/are reje is/are obje are subjec requireme disapproved.	wed. cted. ected to. ct to restriction ent	
□ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ The drawing(s) filed on □ is/are of □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have be □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be □ Copies of the certified co	is approved objected to by the Examiner er.  rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a seen received. seen received in Application Ments have been received tional Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2 ser No(s). 3	is/are allo is/are reje is/are obje are subject requirement disapproved.  (d).	wed. cted. ected to. ct to restriction ent	or election
Claim(s) Cla	is papproved objected to by the Examiner er.  In approved objected to by the Examiner er.  In approved to by the Examiner er.  In approved to be approved to be approved to application of the er.  In approved to approved to application of the er.  In approved to approved to application of the er.  In approved to approved to approve the er.	is/are allo is/are reje is/are obje are subjec requireme disapproved.	wed. cted. ected to. ct to restriction ent  ry, PTO-413	or election

Application/Control Number: 09/888,344

Art Unit: 1712

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hansen. The reference teaches a hot melt adhesive having high service temperatures comprising a styrene block copolymer having a molecular weight of more than 100,000, a polyphenylene ether having a glass transition temperature of 120° C or more and a viscosity adjuster. See Table 3. As the compositions are prepared from the same components as the claimed composition and have high service temperatures, the compositions inherently have compression sets of 90% or less as required in the claims.

Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over WO '396. The reference teaches a hot melt adhesive having high service temperatures comprising a styrene block copolymer having a molecular weight of more than 100,000, a polyphenylene ether having a glass transition temperature of 120° C or more and a viscosity adjuster. See Table 1. As the compositions are prepared from the same components as the claimed composition and have high service temperatures, the compositions inherently have compression sets of 90% or less as required in the claims.

Application/Control Number: 09/888,344

Page 3

Art Unit: 1712

Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hansen. The reference teaches a hot melt adhesive having high service temperatures comprising a styrene block copolymer having a molecular weight of more than 100,000, a polyphenylene ether having a glass transition temperature of 120° C or more and a viscosity adjuster. See examples. As the compositions are prepared from the same components as the claimed composition and have high service temperatures, the compositions inherently have compression sets of 90% or less as required in the claims.

P. Short

June 13, 2002

Phone (703) 308-2395

Fax (703) 872-9310

PATRICIA A SHORT PRIMARY EXAMINER

Pater a. Sht